

Edisto River Basin Plan Public Meeting

February 15, 2023

Edisto River Basin Plan – Public Meeting Agenda

- Welcome and Introductions
- Overview of the Planning Process
- Draft Edisto River Basin Plan Highlights
- Public Comments and Q&A with the RBC
- Submitting Comments of the Draft Plan

6:00 - 6:10 6:10 - 6:20 6:20 - 7:20 7:25 - 7:55 7:55 - 8:00





Welcome and Introductions

Edisto River Basin Council

Name	Organization	Interest Category	
Glen Bell	RBM Forestry, LLC	Agriculture, Forestry, and Irrigation	
Alex Tolbert	Orangeburg Country Club		
Jeremy Walther	Walther Farms		
Landrum Weathers	Weathers Farms/Circle W Farms		
Laura Bagwell	Aiken Soil and Water Conservation District		
Dr. John Bass	Retired		
Alta Mae Marvin	Edisto River Canoe and Kayak Trail Commission	Al-Laige	
Jerry Waters	Palmetto Realty and Land Co.		
Brandon Stutts	Dominion Energy South Carolina	Electric-Power Utilities	
Dr. David Bishop	The Nature Conservancy	Environmental Interests 8	
Hank Stallworth	Retired (SCDNR Chief of Staff)	Conservation	
Hugo Krispyn	Friends of the Edisto and Edisto Riverkeeper	Conservation	
Amanda Sievers	Orangeburg County	Industry and Economic	
Will Williams	Western SC Economic Development Partnership	Development	
Mark Aakhus	Town of Edisto Beach		
Joel Duke	Aiken County	Local Governments	
Johney Haralson	Bamberg Soil and Water District		
J.J. Jowers	Public	Water-Based Recreation	
Alan Mehrzad	Bamberg Board of Public Works	Water and Sewer Utilities	
Eric Odom	Orangeburg Department of Public Utilities		
Jason Thompson	Charleston Water System		





Cooperators and RBC Support Provided by:









Overview of the Planning Process

History of State Water Planning



- SCDNR is legislatively mandated to develop a State Water Plan.
- SCDNR published the first edition of the State Water Plan in 1998.
- In 2004, SCDNR published the second edition of the South Carolina Water Plan incorporating lessons learned from the drought of 1998-2002.
- One recommendation was to develop a regional water plan for each major river basin in the State.

South Carolina's Eight Planning Basins

- River Basin Plans will be developed for the State's eight major river basins using a "bottom-up" approach where stakeholders in each basin lead the development of their basin plan.
- Collectively, the River Basin Plans will form the foundation of a new State Water Plan.



Planning Process Advisory Committee

- Convened by SCDNR in March 2018.
- Purpose develop a guidance document (Planning Framework) for developing River Basin Plans and for updating the State Water Plan.
- South Carolina State Water Planning Framework (Planning Framework) was published in October 2019 after an 18-month process.



Planning Framework is available for review and download at: https://hydrology.dnr.sc.gov/water-planning-framework.html

PPAC Committee Members

Jeffery Allen David Baize David Bereskin Jesse Cannon Fred Castles, III

Clay Duffie Steve Hamilton Erika Hollis J.J. Jowers, Jr.

Eric Krueger Jeff Lineberger Jill Miller Dean Moss, Jr. Myra Reece

Ken Rentiers Bill Stangler Landrum Weathers Scott Willett Charles Wingard

Clemson University SCAWWA/WEASC Greenville Water Santee Cooper Catawba-Wateree Water Management Group Mt. Pleasant Waterworks (retired) The Dunes Golf and Beach Club **Upstate Forever** Bamberg County citizen, Edisto Engineers and Surveyors, Inc. The Nature Conservancy **Duke Energy** South Carolina Rural Water Association **Beaufort Jasper WSA (retired)** South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control South Carolina Department of Natural Resources **Congaree Riverkeeper** Farmer Anderson Regional Joint Water System Walter P. Rawl and Sons, Inc.



For more information, visit: <u>https://www.clemson.edu/public/</u> <u>water-assessment/</u> <u>State_Water_Planning_Process</u> <u>Advisory_Committee.html</u>





https://hydrology.dnr.sc.gov/ppac.html

Planning Framework calls for the formation of a River Basin Council (RBC) in each planning basin

- Stakeholder-led team responsible for developing the River Basin Plan
- Up to 25 members representing 8 interest categories
- Governed by a set of Bylaws
- Consensus based decision-making
 process
- Chair and Vice-Chair elected by RBC



River Basin Planning Current Status

Basin	Status
Edisto	June 2020 – present
Broad	March 2022 – present
Pee Dee	June 2022 – present
Saluda	Scheduled to begin March 2023
Upper Savannah	Scheduled to begin Summer 2023
Lower Savannah/ Salkehatchie	Scheduled to begin Fall 2023
Santee	Scheduled to begin Spring 2024
Catawba	CWWMG's Integrated Resource Plan in progress



State Water Plan - Schedule

Basin	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Edisto						
Broad						
Pee Dee						
Catawba						
Saluda						
Upper Savannah						
Lower Savannah/ Salkehatchie						
Santee						
State Water Plan						

Stakeholder Participation

Edisto River Basin Council Field Trip



Pee Dee River Basin Council Meeting



Edisto Basin Water Demand Projection Stakeholder Meeting

PPAC Meeting



Broad River Basin Council Meeting



SWAM Model Stakeholder Meeting



What is a River Basin Plan?

A River Basin Plan answers four questions:

- 1. What is the basin's current available water supply and demand?
- 2. What are the current permitted and registered water uses?
- 3. What will be the basin's water demand over the Planning Horizon, and will the water supply meet the demand?
- 4. What water management strategies will be employed to ensure the supply meets or exceeds the projected demand over the Planning Horizon?



Proactive Water Management, not Reactive!

Features of a River Basin Plan

- Stakeholder-developed
- Covers a **50-year** Planning Horizon.
- Considers both **surface water** and **groundwater** resources.
- Current focus is on water *quantity* not water *quality* with emphasis on drought conditions.
- Not a regulatory document but may include recommendations regarding State water policy, law, and regulations.
- Updated every 5-years water planning will be an ongoing process.
- Supported by hydrologic data, models, and water-demand projections.



EDISTO RIVER BASIN PLAN 2022



The Four Phases of the Planning Process

Phase 1	 Develop a vision statement and goals Learn about the basin's water resources and modeling tools Evaluate water demand projections
Phase 2	 Evaluate current and future water availability issues Identify and quantify potential water shortages through year 2070 for several water demand scenarios
Phase 3	 Develop and evaluate water management strategies Recommend and prioritize strategies
Phase 4	 Develop legislative, policy, technical and planning process recommendations Prepare the River Basin Plan that includes an implementation plan, Identifies drought response initiatives, and considers public input



Draft Edisto River Basin Plan Highlights

Draft Edisto River Basin Plan Highlights

We Will Review:

- Current and projected water demands in the basin
- Results of current and future water availability assessment
- Streamflow-ecology relationships
- Recommended water management strategies
- Other Plan recommendations and implementation approach
- Issues and challenges





Edisto RBC Vision Statement

A resilient and sustainably managed Edisto River basin where stakeholder and ecosystem needs are recognized, balanced, and protected.

Edisto RBC Goals

- 1. Develop water use strategies, policies, and legislative recommendations for the Edisto River basin to:
 - a. Ensure water resources are maintained to support current and future human and ecosystem needs.
 - b. Improve the resiliency of the water resources and help minimize disruptions within the basin.
 - c. Promote future development in areas with adequate water resources.
 - d. Encourage responsible land use practices.

2. Develop and implement a communication plan to promote the strategies, policies, and recommendations for the Edisto River basin.

Current water demands in the basin

Water Use Category	Groundwater (MGD)	Surface Water (MGD)	Total (MGD)
Agriculture	61	18	79
Public Supply	6	57	63
Manufacturing	2	1	3
Thermoelectric	4	0	4
Other	0.2	<0.1	0.2
Total	74	76	150

Most numbers are rounded to the nearest 1 MGD



Plorence Saluda Richland Sumter **⊙ ⇒ Key** Only 17 Percent of the Permitted and Registered water amount is currently being used in the basin Finding North Fork Calhoun Clarendon Edisto 19 Williamsburg 6 Surface Water Groundwater Total (MGD) (MGD) (MGD) South Fork **Currently Used** 150 74 76 119 747 866 Permitted and Registered Amount Percent of Total Permitted and 10% 62% 17% erkeley **Registered Amount Currently in Use** 13 Allendale

Projected water demands in the basin



Moderate Growth Scenario demands are projected to increase from 150 MGD (currently used) to 234 MGD by 2070

2070 water demands for this scenario are 27% of Registered and Permitted amounts

Moderate Growth Scenario



Projected water demands in the basin



High Growth Scenario demands are projected to increase from 150 MGD (currently used) to 303 MGD by 2070

2070 water demands for this scenario are 35% of Registered and Permitted amounts **High Growth Scenario**



Current and Future Water Availability Assessment

Surface and groundwater models were used to compare available supply to current and projected water demands

Surface Water Simplified Water Allocation Model (SWAM)



Groundwater USGS Atlantic Coast Plain Groundwater Model



Source: Matthew Petkewich and Greg Cherry, USGS

Surface Water Key Findings

- Surface water resources of the Edisto River basin are generally sufficient to meet current and projected future needs.
- If fully permitted and registered amounts were withdrawn, the basin would be unsustainably stressed with frequent shortages and more severe low flows.
- Projected water shortages through 2070 in the agricultural sector are likely overestimated because the many (over 350) impoundments were not modeled.
- Projected public water supply shortages occurred in the High Demand Scenario for year 2070 under drought of record (2002) flows.
- Existing water suppliers' Drought Management Plans, if followed, eliminate projected shortages in 2070.





Surface Water Key Findings

 Even without any surface water use, flows in the Edisto River and other reaches can drop below minimum instream flows during periods of low precipitation and drought.

eamflow (cfs)



• Groundwater Key Findings

- Groundwater level declines simulated in all scenarios result in aquifer levels dropping below the top of the Crouch Branch aquifer and below the top of the McQueen Branch aquifer in certain locations.
- At these locations, there are risks to the groundwater aquifers that will need to be managed, including the risk of reduced storage, land subsidence, reduced well yields, and/or dry wells. Because of the potential for negative impacts when groundwater levels drop below the top of an aquifer, the RBC designated areas where modeling or monitoring show declines below the top of an aquifer as Groundwater Areas of Concern.





Key Finding

A Groundwater Area of Concern was identified in Calhoun County where water levels are predicted to drop below the top of the Crouch Branch aquifer.



Provisional – All data is considered provisional and subject to revision.

Source: Matthew Petkewich and Greg Cherry, USGS

Key Finding

Groundwater Areas of Concern were identified in Lexington and Aiken Counties where water levels are predicted to drop below the top of the McQueen Branch aquifer.



Provisional – All data is considered provisional and subject to revision.

Source: Matthew Petkewich and Greg Cherry, USGS

Streamflow-Ecology Relationships

Objective: Quantify relationships between key flow metrics and biotic response to better inform water flow standards throughout the state and serve as a tool supporting informed decision making in the river basin planning process.



Streamflow-Ecology Relationships

Simulated flow regimes of the Moderate, High Demand, and Permitted and Registered Scenarios are likely to result in low ecological risk in primary and secondary tributaries of the Edisto River basin.

- At only a few river reaches were risks predicted to increase to the medium or high risk range, and only in the High Demand and Permitted and Registered Scenarios.
- This is the first time South Carolina has used biological metrics. Relationships between hydrology and biology will continue to be refined and improved.







Surface Water Management Strategies

Portfolio of Demand Side Strategies



Agricultural Strategies (Examples)

Water audits and nozzle retrofits Irrigation equipment changes Soil management and cover crops Irrigation scheduling Crop variety, type, and conversion



Municipal Strategies (Examples)

Conservation pricing structures Leak detection and water loss control program Toilet rebate program Landscape irrigation program and codes Time-of-day watering limit Car wash recycling ordinances Public education about water conservation Residential water audits Water efficiency standards for new construction Reclaimed water programs

Surface Water Management Strategies

Supply Side Strategies

Conjunctive Use

• Switching from surface water use to groundwater use during times when river and streamflows are low.

Small Impoundments

• Serve to reduce or eliminate agricultural water shortages during drought conditions.



Low Flow Management Strategy

The strategy serves to augment statewide and municipal drought management plans by triggering tiered withdrawal curtailment by the largest water users in the basin when Edisto River flow reaches certain low levels.

Incremental Percent Below 20% of Median Flow	Edisto River Flow Range (cfs) at Givhans Ferry Lower Upper		Reduction Goal for Surface Water Withdrawals
0-20%	266	332	20%
20-40%	199	266	40%
40-60%	133	199	60%
60-80%	66	133	80%
80-100%	0	66	100%



Other RBC Recommendations

Technical and Program Recommendations

Example: SCDNR work with SCDHEC, USGS, and other partners to enhance monitoring capabilities in the identified Groundwater Areas of Concern.

Recommendations to Improve the River Basin Planning Process

Example: RBC members should communicate with legislative delegations throughout the river basin planning process to promote their familiarity with the process and its goals and to generate buy-in on its recommendations.







Other RBC Recommendations

Policy, legislative, and regulatory issues

The Edisto RBC did not reach consensus on these topics but identified and discussed issues, developed proposals, and documented support or concerns with the proposals.

Example Proposal: The Surface water withdrawal, permitting, use, and reporting regulations should use 80 percent of **median** annual daily flows instead of 80 percent of **mean** annual daily flows to determine safe yield at a withdrawal point.

Support for: The median is a better statistical representation of flow on the river and may reduce overallocation.

Reasons Against: Although flawed, existing regulations effectively protect the resource, and a switch may not be worth the confusion it could create.

RBC Voting Results



Identified Issues and Challenges

- Surface water resources of the basin are overallocated based on existing permit and registration amounts. The registered and permitted withdrawals have effectively used up the safe yield of the basin and SCDHEC cannot grant any new surface water registrations.
- Future surface water withdrawers seeking new registrations in the basin will need to apply for a permit and be subject to permit fees and conditions.



Identified Issues and Challenges

- Because no new registrations can be granted and the full existing registered and permitted amounts are unlikely to ever be used, the existing permits and registrations effectively act as a conservation measure.
- Currently no users in the Edisto River basin are subject to Minimum Instream Flow requirements.



Implementation Plan

The RBC-developed implementation plan includes specific short-term (5-year) and long-term strategies and actions to address the following six objectives:

- 1. Reduce demand to conserve water resources
- 2. Conserve surface water during low-flow conditions
- 3. Augment sources of supply
- 4. Protect groundwater supplies and existing users
- 5. Improve technical understanding of water resource management issues
- 6. Effectively communicate RBC findings and recommendations







Submitting Comments on the Draft River Basin Plan

Submitting Comments of the Draft Plan

The Draft Edisto River Basin Plan is available at:

https://hydrology.dnr.sc.gov/edisto-river-basin-plan.html

Comments can be e-mailed to Dr. Tom Walker at: <u>scwatermodels@clemson.edu</u>

Or mailed to: SC Water Resources Center Office E-137 509 Westinghouse Road Pendleton, SC 29670 Attn: Dr. Tom Walker

Comments must be received by: March 17, 2023



Edisto River Basin Planning Documents: <u>Draft Executive Summary</u> <u>Draft Edisto River Basin Plan</u>

Public Meeting Information:

Meeting Agenda Date and Time: **February 15th, 2023, 6:00 PM - 8:00 PM** Location: Orangeburg DPU Operations Center Conference Room 352 Sprinkle Ave. Orangeburg, SC 29115 (<u>Directions via Google</u>)

Public Comment Period and Submission Process:

Public comments on the draft Edisto River Basin Plan will be accepted from **February 15th through March 17th, 2023**. Comments should be submitted to Clemson University via email (<u>scwatermodels@clemson.edu</u>), or mailed to: SC Water Resources Center Office: E-137 509 Westinghouse Road Pendleton, SC 29670 Attn: Dr. Tom Walker

Draft Edisto River Basin Plan







Public Comments and Q&A with the RBC

Submitting Comments of the Draft Plan

The Draft Edisto River Basin Plan is available at:

https://hydrology.dnr.sc.gov/edisto-river-basin-plan.html

Comments can be e-mailed to Dr. Tom Walker at: <u>scwatermodels@clemson.edu</u>

Or mailed to: SC Water Resources Center Office E-137 509 Westinghouse Road Pendleton, SC 29670 Attn: Dr. Tom Walker

Comments must be received by: March 17, 2023



Edisto River Basin Planning Documents: <u>Draft Executive Summary</u> <u>Draft Edisto River Basin Plan</u>

Public Meeting Information:

Meeting Agenda Date and Time: **February 15th, 2023, 6:00 PM - 8:00 PM** Location: Orangeburg DPU Operations Center Conference Room 352 Sprinkle Ave. Orangeburg, SC 29115 (<u>Directions via Google</u>)

Public Comment Period and Submission Process:

Public comments on the draft Edisto River Basin Plan will be accepted from **February 15th through March 17th, 2023**. Comments should be submitted to Clemson University via email (<u>scwatermodels@clemson.edu</u>), or mailed to: SC Water Resources Center Office: E-137 509 Westinghouse Road Pendleton, SC 29670 Attn: Dr. Tom Walker

Draft Edisto River Basin Plan



